App.F.IX-4]

1894-95

192 .

File No. 21

Previous References.

Mus)

Serial Nos.

Later references.

Drwg 131-28-1-2

" 179-28-1-4, 6, 8-10

" 31-28-1-2

4 301-35-1-2

Secy 379-39.1-4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for

SUBJECT

Nand Krishna Dass Chandhes ad

Paschima Vahini, Sewage.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 1126

dated 6-7-95 received at the

Dewan's Office from Vasudeva Sas

Inamdar of Jooroogumor & oth

Villagers, T. Narsipur Taluk

praying for the grant of land

for the construction of an

Agraharam at Seringapat

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~ to the D

~~enclosures, to the~~ Commr, Mysore a

with a request that he will rep

about this matter as quickly

possible.

2. The Dewan had proposed

a settlement on certain lin

which the Inamdars agreed

and Mr. Rungacharlu, former Dy Commr, was to

assigned new sites for th

agraharam and reported

the settlement. The proceed

Dy Commr should now de

with this matter.

By Order,

Bangalore,
8-7-1895

~~Chief Secretary~~
Rev Secy

8/7/95

M.G.C.P.B—19-2-95—1,000

RECD. 11 2 61

DATE 6-1-61

ಂತಲಾನುಷ್ಠಾಂತ-ನಿಷ್ಠಾಗತ-ನಿಂತ್ಯಾನಂದ-ನಮಸ್ಕಾರಯಲ್ಲ-

ಶಾಂತೀರಾಜಾಚಲಧಿರಾಜಿತ-ತಾರಮುಖೇಶ್ವರ-ತ್ಯಾಗರಾಜ-ಶ್ಯಾಮಾಂತ-ಪ್ರಣಿ

ಾ-ತೀರ-ನರವಣಿ-ಚಿರದಿಂಬ್ಯಂಬಿರಗಂಧ-ಚಿಂತ್ಯಾಕ್ಷಿತೀರ-

ರುದುಹುಾ-ಶಂಸುಂಭಿತಾಲಾವಲರ-ಶಳ್ಳಂನಿಧಿ-ಶೃಂಖ-

ಚ-ಶಳ್ಳಕುಾರ-ಮಕರಾ-ಮಲ್ಲಿ-ಶರಭ್ಯ-ಶಾಲ-ಗಂ

-ಚೀರುಂಡ-ದ್ಯಗಣಾನಿ-ವರಾಂಡ-ಕನುಪಲ್ಕರುಡ-ಶಂಡಿಗಣಾಂಡ

ಕ-ಚಾರದಾಲಂಕಿತಿರಾಮಗಣ್ಯಾದಿ-ರಕ್ಷನಿಂದ್ಯಾನಿನಾಮರೂಂಡ

ಚದಂಡಾವರುಂಪಾಮಿಂಯುವರಾದಭ್ರಿಮುಕ್ಷೇಷ್ಟರಾಚರಣೈ

ಕುನತರುತಣಿಪಾಂಬಾಭ್ಯಂ ಅನುಚರಿನುಕ್ಕಿಯಿಲು

ಕಮರಾಣಾಮಿಯುವರುಮೆಲ್ಲಂತರ್ಭೂಂಭು ಪಾಳುನಾಂ

ಪಾಂತ್ರಿರಕ್ಷಣ ಅಗ್ರಹಾರ(ಬ್ಯಾಕ್ಷ ನಾರಳಿವನಕ್ಕೂಲ್ಲೂರಕ್ಕೆ ನಿಂದ

ಕದಾಂಗೆನಾಂದೆವಸ್ಥಾನಗಳುಶಶಿಧರದ ಪಾರಲದ್ಯನಿಕ್ಕೂಂ

ಾಂತಿಶ್ವಾಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ಪಿಗ್ರಮಕಾಂಬ್ಯರಾಂ ಲಿಶಿ)) ನಗಳಿಲುಗದ

ಗಲ್ಲುಚೆವನರ ಳ್ಳಿದ್ದೂಂಬಳ್ಳಿನಿಶ್ವಳನಾಂಚಕ್ಕುರುಗುಷಂಗಾರುಸ್ತ್ರಿ

ಪವಂಗಾಬುಷವನರ ಳ್ಳಿಗ್ರಾಮವಂಬುದಿರುಗುಸ್ಕಾರುಗ್ರಾಮ

ಕೈಶಿಂದಶೈರವಂಬುಶಳ್ಳಿಮವಾಡಿನಿಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿಗರಿಂಡ್ಡವರಂ

ಬೆರ್ಭಾವುಳ್ಳಿಮೇಮವಂಬಿಂಸಾದ್ದುಭೂಂಮಿಯುಮಗ್ರಹಾರ

ಶಿವಬ್ರಾನವಗೆರೆಕ್ಕೆಲ್ಲಿಲುರನಕ್ಕೂಭೂಂಮಿನಿಂಲಿಲುನಾಂ

ಪ್ರಶ್ನಲ್ಲಿಯೊಂಕ್ಕಿಲೂಂಯುರದುಗ್ರಾಮಮುಂಸಾಂಡ

ರಲ್ಲಿಶಿಲಾಲಿಪಿಯ್ಯಂಮೂಂಡಿನಿಯೊಂಲ್ಲುರದುಗ್ರಾಮ

ಮುಂಕಾದ್ದರಣ್ಣಿದಳ್ಳುಳ್ಳಾಯುಂವಕ್ಕೆರದ್ದುಲ್ಲೂಂಅಕ್ಕಾದ

ರಲ್ಲಿಯಾಳ್ಳ ಸಾಗಳಿಶಿವನಿಳ್ಳೂರಕ್ಕೆ-ದಿಶಸ್ಥಾನಗಳಮೂರುದೆಮ

ಗೆರಿಗಿರಗದುಗ್ರಾಮಮುಂಶಿಂದಿಧ್ಯಮ್ಮಲುನಾಲಂಮುಶಿದಾ

ಖಿಲಮಾಂಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಬಿಟ್ಟೆ-ಯೆಕಾರಿ-ಮುಂಚಾಂಸಿ

ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಗಣಪತಿ ವಿಗ್ರಹಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಸೊಂಡಿಲು ಎಡಕ್ಕೆ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ.
ಆದರೆ ಕೆಲವು ವಿಗ್ರಹಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೊಂಡಿಲು ಬಲಕ್ಕೆ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ.
ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ಎಂಬುದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ವಿವರಿಸಿ.

ಸೊಂಡಿಲು ಎಡಕ್ಕೆ ಇರುವ ಗಣಪತಿಯನ್ನು ಎಡಮುಖ ಗಣಪತಿ ಎಂದು ಕರೆಯುತ್ತಾರೆ.
ಸೊಂಡಿಲು ಬಲಕ್ಕೆ ಇರುವ ಗಣಪತಿಯನ್ನು ಬಲಮುಖ ಗಣಪತಿ ಎಂದು ಕರೆಯುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಎಡಮುಖ ಗಣಪತಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂಜಿಸುವುದು ಸುಲಭ.
ಬಲಮುಖ ಗಣಪತಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂಜಿಸುವುದು ಕಷ್ಟ.
ಎಡಮುಖ ಗಣಪತಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂಜಿಸಿದರೆ ಸಿದ್ಧಿ ಬುದ್ಧಿ ಲಭಿಸುತ್ತದೆ.
ಬಲಮುಖ ಗಣಪತಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂಜಿಸಿದರೆ ಮೋಕ್ಷ ಲಭಿಸುತ್ತದೆ.

ಎಡಮುಖ ಗಣಪತಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂಜಿಸಿದರೆ ಸಂಸಾರ ಸುಖ ಲಭಿಸುತ್ತದೆ.
ಬಲಮುಖ ಗಣಪತಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂಜಿಸಿದರೆ ಸಂಸಾರ ಸುಖ ಲಭಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಎಡಮುಖ ಗಣಪತಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂಜಿಸಿದರೆ ಸಂಸಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದುಕೊಂಡೇ ಮೋಕ್ಷ ಪಡೆಯಬಹುದು.
ಬಲಮುಖ ಗಣಪತಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂಜಿಸಿದರೆ ಸಂಸಾರ ತ್ಯಜಿಸಿ ಮೋಕ್ಷ ಪಡೆಯಬಹುದು.

ಎಡಮುಖ ಗಣಪತಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂಜಿಸಿದರೆ ಸಂಸಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದುಕೊಂಡೇ ಸಾಧನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಮೋಕ್ಷ ಪಡೆಯಬಹುದು.
ಬಲಮುಖ ಗಣಪತಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂಜಿಸಿದರೆ ಸಂಸಾರ ತ್ಯಜಿಸಿ ಸಾಧನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಮೋಕ್ಷ ಪಡೆಯಬಹುದು.

ಎಡಮುಖ ಗಣಪತಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂಜಿಸಿದರೆ ಸಂಸಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದುಕೊಂಡೇ ಸಾಧನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಮೋಕ್ಷ ಪಡೆಯಬಹುದು.
ಬಲಮುಖ ಗಣಪತಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂಜಿಸಿದರೆ ಸಂಸಾರ ತ್ಯಜಿಸಿ ಸಾಧನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಮೋಕ್ಷ ಪಡೆಯಬಹುದು.

ಎಡಮುಖ ಗಣಪತಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂಜಿಸಿದರೆ ಸಂಸಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದುಕೊಂಡೇ ಸಾಧನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಮೋಕ್ಷ ಪಡೆಯಬಹುದು.
ಬಲಮುಖ ಗಣಪತಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂಜಿಸಿದರೆ ಸಂಸಾರ ತ್ಯಜಿಸಿ ಸಾಧನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಮೋಕ್ಷ ಪಡೆಯಬಹುದು.

ಎಡಮುಖ ಗಣಪತಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂಜಿಸಿದರೆ ಸಂಸಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದುಕೊಂಡೇ ಸಾಧನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಮೋಕ್ಷ ಪಡೆಯಬಹುದು.
ಬಲಮುಖ ಗಣಪತಿಯನ್ನು ಪೂಜಿಸಿದರೆ ಸಂಸಾರ ತ್ಯಜಿಸಿ ಸಾಧನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಮೋಕ್ಷ ಪಡೆಯಬಹುದು.

ತಮ್ಮ ಸೇವೆಯ ಅವಧಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ಮಾಡಿದ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಸ್ಮರಿಸಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸನ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಿ ಗೌರವಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದ ಎಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ಸಿಹಿ ಹಂಚ

ళ్ళ- ఉప్పుకూపం లియ మ్మిందుంగిద్దేమ్మిల్లద్దెల్లదశేనాందు
కొత్తదిందుదు- నిశేల్పిక్కమిష్ట్రస్తుంది గుమ్మాలశిక్కు ళ్ళ
ళ్ళదిన్నమ్మ క్రీలిక్కు చనతిక్కు చిడాంశ్రీంద దన్నిగ
ళ్ళగలైక్కు దమేంబలగం ంల్లిల్లి గువంశియుం
మందిదన్నిగాంనశ్చిన్ ళ్ళశ్నాంరరకుష్మం
శ్నిణిదక్కుమ్మదు- శాంశిగద అత్రశ్రీంగుం ంంశ్రీ
(ర- ౮౫)
గిదాళ్ళ ంభిదన్న

ఆలుంచశ్రీంగాంగ్ల్లో(గ్రీమనళ్ళన్ని
ంంరంచశ్రీంగాంశ్రీంలో నిన్నదాలుగ్రీమనళ్ళు
శ్రీంచిదర ంంనళ్ళుకాంంగ్ల్లో వశ్రీంగాం(గాంగ్,
ంంగాంచిదన్నిశ్రీంగాంచిన్ ంంళ్ళిగుంం
చిదాంగ్రీంలో-ళ్ళిగ్రీంచిశ్రీంగాంగ్ంమంద్రు
ల్లిద్దిదే

శ్రీద్దిదంగ మచిదాంశ్రీంగాంగ్ంమయుంచిరిన్
ల్లిశ్రీంచిదంగాంశ్రీంగాంగ్ంమళ్ళుంగ్రీంమ్రీంద దే
గుంశ్నాంచిదంచిదాంగ్రీంద్దిదన్ వశ్రీంచిదాంశ్రీంమొం
గాంగ్రీంళ్ళుంల్లిగచిశ్రీంచు

దన్నిచిదంరంమంన్ గ్రీమనళ్ళుమన్ని
భూంమినళ్ళుచిదాంమంరంచిదంశ్రీంశ్రీంనవుశ్రీంన్ని
ంచుశాంంకాంయుంమంంతి విజ్ఞాంత్రీంచిపు

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

వాసుదేవశాస్త్రి

Proc^s of the Govt. of Mysore

6.

Read:-

Petition No 2349 undated presented by B. Subbakrishna Row, Mysore, complaining of non-payment of sums alleged to be due to him from the realizations of Turuganur and Bussavanhalli which were granted as dharm to Nowl Krishna Das for the maintenance of a Chatram, temple and Agrahar at Paschimavahini but which, for reasons stated in Govt. Proc^s No 963 to 966 G-199 of 16-7-94 were ordered to be resumed.

Order thereon No 104 P/9 dated 24-7-95.

The petition read above is forwarded to the Deputy Comm^r Mysore District for report with reference to G.O. No 963 to 966 G 199 dated 16 July 94. He is requested to state what the villages have been finally surveyed and settled, and with what comparative result, in reference to the past collections; how the case of this Petitioner can

what agrahara donees has
been dealt with; ~~and~~ what he
would propose to do with
regard to them, and what the
present condition of the temples
and chatrams is

Recd xpt
C Rly

24-7-95
Rev: Secretary

To the Dy: Comm.
Mysore
Rly

Call upon the
Select Committee
upon Income Tax
1894 and
ascertain whether
the Witness has been
finally surveyed and
settled and with what
comparative result
in reference to
Rebecca the last Collection
The Acts represent
the body of agricultural
Grievances suppose
and the Select Committee
should report as
to how their case
has been dealt with
and what the work
proper to be done with
regard thereto.

20.7.95

The present condition
of the Temples shall also
be reported

draft
aug 8 95
20-7

153

MYSORE REVENUE OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 1553
DATE 9-7-51

Refer
Rev. Rep.

[illegible]

[illegible] 365-14-10 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Petrol

121 R

6-7-95

16

171

MAY
PETITION DESPATCH
PERIOD
DATE 10-7-95

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMEN

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition Nos 121/153 respectively dated 6th, 9th and 10th July/25 and received at the Dewan's Office from, K Subbanna Cha V Krishnashar, and 13 Subba Krishna are the inam villages of Turagunur, Bassavanhalli in the Narsipur ta granted to Arool Krishna Das, and now under the management of Gov

are forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore with the request that the report called for in this office orde of the 8th and 24th July/25 may be expedited.

1896

MUZRAI.

taken possession of their respective sites and began to construct upon them.

2. The Dy Com^rs attention is also drawn to this Office Docket No 965 / M.F. 31-94 dated 4th March 1896 and he is requested to expedite the submission of the statement called for therein showing the particulars of the Vritti allowances held in deposit at the Srirangapatam Taluk Treasury.

3. A very early reply is requested.

By order

W

From

To The Dy Commissioner Mysore District

Dated 9 July 1896

Despatched

Received

Enclosure No. 1

General No. 66

Muzrai F. No. 31-94

In forwarding herewith petition Regd No 224 addressed to H.H. the Maharani Regent by Hurm Subbanna Char, a Vritidar of the late how Krishna Dass' Agrah has the honor to request to be furnished with a statemen of the Vrittidars wh have been allotted

sites at Srirangapatam
for the construction
of a new Agrahar.
as reported in his
letter No 745 dated
30th December 1895, and also of
those to whom sites have
yet to be allotted. In
the case of the latter, the
Dy Comm? should take
immediate steps to
assign them suitable
sites and report
the completion of the
allocation to Govt.
Information is also
required if all or any
of the Vrittidars who
have been already
assigned sites, have

Mungara.
How does the case
view S Tamil? Pile
some writing
we to know case
writing to D.C.
writing to Tahsildar
to take re-cycle
& upon re-cycle
ment of Agraharam
in this writing. Use
here to
be the claim
of Agraharam

Previous petitions relating to this subject have been referred to the Revenue Officer for report &c. (Secn: 18).

No. 83
Enclosures No. 1

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore July 14th 1896.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore ~~Revenue and General~~ *Department.*

SIR,

With reference to your docket No. 66 of 31.94 of the 9th July 1896, forwarding the petition of Thirma Subbanna Char, I beg to state as follows:—

2. The petitioner is one of the persons who had been granted inam lands out of the inam villages of Naval Krishna Doss. The object with which the inam villages had been granted having failed, the Government resume them. Then the Vritidars who had received inam lands from the inamdar petitioned the Government. The Government directed that if the Vritidars rebuil houses at a place to be chosen by the Deputy Commissioner in lieu of those that had gone to decay and in Consideration of the Construction of which the inam lands had been granted, then the Government would order the payment to them of the amounts realized on their inam lands previous to their resumption, and would also Consider the question of the restoration to them

them of their inams. Accordingly Sites for building houses were Selected in Seringapatam in your presence and Such of the Vrittidars as were present then were told that they were at liberty to begin to build at once. But up to now they have done nothing. They merely want the Said amount to be paid to them. I remember having told the petitioners a number of times that I would at any moment go and Show the Sites for building. The Statement Called for in your docket No 965 of the 4th March 1896 Shewing the amounts realized on the inam lands of these Vrittidars previous to their resumption will be Sent as Soon as the necessary information is received from the Sub division officer of French rocks to whom an urgent reminder has been Sent.

3. The petition under report is here with returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obed.t Serv.t

Compd. Dy.

Deputy Commissioner of the Mn district

OFFICE OF
1896
C. R.
BANGALORE

From

The Deputy Commissioner--
of the Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
~~Revenue and General~~ Department

Dated 14th July 1896

Despatched

Received 16/7

Enclosures No. Petition

No. 83

Reporting on the petition of Karuna Subbamma Hon'r a Petitioner of the late Nonal Krishna Doss Aprabhu.

To the Commissioner No 136 dated 19/7/96

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

(19)

189

MUZARAL

From

To

The Dy Collr.

~~Bangalore~~ D.t

Dated 20th May 1896

Despatched July 1896

Received

Enclosures No /

General No. 136

Muzarai F. No. 31-94

His No. 83 dated 14th July 1896, reporting on the petition of Kurma Subbanachar, a Vritti-dar of the late Kaul Krishna Doss' Agraharam at Paschimavahini.

Has the honor to observe that the state-ment

ment (called for in
this office No 66
Inv 2731-94)
of Vrittidars who have
been directed allotted sites for the
construction of a new
agrahar at Srirangapatam and of those who
have not been allotted
sites, has not been received
with the letter cited above;
the Dy Comr is therefore
requested to submit
the above statement
together with the details
of the Vritti Allowances
held in deposit at the
Srirangapatam Taluq
Treasury, as early as
practicable.

By order.

Asst. Secretary

No. 549
Enclosures No.

(23)

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 15th September 1896.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Muzarai ~~Revenue and General~~ Department.

SIR,

In reply to your docket No 136/M 31-94 of the 20th July 1896, I beg to state that no statement of the sites given to the Vrittidar referred to can be sent, as none of them came forward (as already stated in my letter No 83 of the 14th July 1896) to take possession of them.. You were present when the sites were selected.. I have often told the Vrittidars that I am ready to show them the sites, but no has as yet showed a desire to build the statement of the amounts due to them was sent with this office No 4 of the 2d. September 1896.—

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt Servt

Dy Commissioner.

(39)



1896. 9 AUG 21 2570

From

The Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Murrai *Departments.*

Dated 15 September 1896.

Despatched

Received 18/9

Enclosures No. —

No. 569

Stating that the Vrittidars have not yet come forward to take possession of the soles allotted to them —

Extract from Mur. Sept's mkt Dy. 1st Oct 96.

Nowl Krishna Doss Agrahar

V. Mrs Powell.

Notice of the Agrahar Brahmins to whom sites had been allotted have started the work. About 20 Servants of the Sri Ranganatha Swami temple applied for sites fronting the main road near De Havelands arch. But I understand that these sites had been reserved for bungalows.

make a plan the allotment & serve it with notice on Vittidars

matter for local authority

Mr D/K.S.

(24)

Read:—

—Government Proceedings No. 963-6 G. 199 dated 16th June 1894 directing the resumption of the Inam villages of Turuganur and Basavanhalli granted for the maintenance of the Agrahar and other charities established at Paschimavahini by the late Nowl Krishna Doss as they had fallen into decay.

" Government Proceedings No. 9048-9 R 1369 dated 2nd December 1894, observing that the question of restoring to the Vrittidars of the late Nowl Krishna Doss Agraharam their respective vrities out of the resumed Inam villages or their equivalents will be favorably considered by Government after the Vrittidars build new Agrahar houses in a locality approved by the Deputy Commissioner and otherwise conform to the conditions of the original grants

grant.

And,

Letter No 5749 dated 15th September 1896 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, reporting that none of the Vrittidars to whom Sites have been allotted in pursuance of the above order of Government, have come forward to take possession of the Sites or have shewn any inclination to rebuild the Agrahar.

Order thereon No 500 Inam 31-94
Dated Bangalore 5th October 1896.

The Deputy Commissioner is requested to prepare a plan of the Sites newly allotted to the several Vrittidars and serve the same on them with a notice requiring them to take charge of their respective Sites and

Commence building ~~forthwith~~ for them informing them at the same time that in case they fail to do so the Sites will be otherwise disposed of.

Mwanzi Secretary

To,

The Deputy Commissioner
Mpwapwa District.

7411

1897 –98

From

The Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.



To,

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Received from the Govt. Dept
14/4/98

Dated 7
Despatched
Received

April 1898.

Enclosures No. 1 Tracing copy of letter & Enquiry papers –

General No. 1164

Reporting on the writtedars of the Agrahar founded at Paschima Vahini by the late Nowl Krishna Doss.

No. 1164
Enclosures No. 2 & file

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commr
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 7th April 1898

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No. 500 dated 5th October 1896 directing the issue of a notice to the Vrittidars of the agrahara founded at Parchuna vahene by the late Kowl Khushnd Doss, requiring them to take charge of the sites selected for the construction of a new agrahara and commence building thereon within a specified time, I have the honor to enclose the accompanying copy of the French Rocks Sub Division Officers letter No 1279 dated 15th March 1898, explaining the circumstances of the case together with a tracing and the marginally noted file of correspondence received therewith.

File of papers (in two parts attached) ending with the French Rocks Sub-Division Officers Memorandum No. 250 dated 26th August 1895 to the Amildar of Periyapatna Taluk.

2. It will be observed from the same that the site already selected by my predecessor at Periyapatna for the agrahara in question having with some reason been objected to by the parties concerned, the Sub-Division Officer has pointed out another in the immediate neighbourhood thereof. They have approved of the latter and expressed

willingness to build on it. They pray for the dis
ement of the proceeds of their respective writtis to
le them to construct their houses.

The persons who claim the 12 writtis pertain
to the agrahar are named below:-

i. Krishnamma represented by Karma
Subbanna Char

ii. Moortychar, deceased (one Pad
mavati Bozi (daughter-in-law)
claims to be the surviving member.

iii. Bhagavata Subbramanyappa de
ceased. Son Subba Krishna Row.

iv Vasudeva Shastry

v. Karma Subbanachar.

vi. Bheemasenachar deceased, one
Sumati Anantachar claims to be
the surviving member. This individual
is a minor and is represented by his
guardian Guru Rajachar.

vii. Dadanachar.

viii. Lakshmi Narasimhachar.

ix. Tunga Bozi. Sarvicars Shesha
char and Ramachar appear as claiman

x. Ramavadhani deceased, son
Srinivasa Murti.

xi. Vasanta Krishnachar.

xii Alur Ramabhatta.

The writtis or [illegible]

resumed at the Inam Settlement, nothing can be done in these cases till they establish their title and get the order of resumption cancelled

5. The claims of the remaining 9 villidars will have to be disposed of. Six of these, viz, Nos 1, 3, 4, 5, 7 and 11 have obtained Civil Court decrees in their favor and are entitled to payment. No 6 has also proved his title to the satisfaction of the Inam Investigation Officer and his claim may be recognized.

6. The claims of No's 2 and 9 being disputed, they will have to establish their claims in the manner indicated in para 15 of the Inam Investigation Officer's letter before any payment could be made to them.

7 The decree holders who have sought execution have to be paid the amounts of their decrees which include not only the proceeds of their respective writs, but also the costs awarded & judged to them in the suits filed by them against seven of the founders of the agrahar Mr. A. Ranga. swamy Iyengar, late Deputy Commissioner of the District, has decided that the realizations of the Jagamain Village and those of the 3 resumed villages should be appropriated towards supplementing the funds required for payment of the decreed amounts.

The amount available for disbursement to the ryotholders out of the realizations of the Agrahara Gajamanu villages up to the end of 1894-95 according to the decision of the Deputy Commissioner is Rs 5959-6-10 of which Rs 2195-9-0 has already been disbursed to them leaving an unpaid balance Rs 3763-13-10 as per details given in paras 17 and 18 of the Sub-Division Officer's letter

(a) the sum of Rs 1,787-13-3 available for the holders of the remaining 3 villages which are amongst the nine confirmed at the Inam settlement, Rs 595-15-1 will have to be paid to Sumata ranlachiar (No. 6) whose claim has been recognized by the Sub-Division Officer, the balance being held in abeyance till the claimants (Nos 2 & 9) concerned establish their title.

in No. 3 in para 3 of the Sub-Division Officer's letter

The Sub-Division Officer states that if sites selected by him be approved and inam is accorded to the disbursement of the village amounts, he will take steps to obtain the amounts up to the year Jaya (1894-95) and the subsequent years from the Sevvagapatam and T. Narsipur Taluk Treasuries, put the ryots in the possession of the agrahardars and disburse the money in small sums as proceeds is shown by them in the construction

of the houses.

11. The Sub-Division Officer may be authorized to fund the aforesaid 7 Villidars in possession of the sites selected by him and approved by them and his proposal to draw and disburse the Villi amounts may also be sanctioned.

12. The question of restoring to the Villidars their respective Villis out of the resumed Inam villages of Turagandur and Basavanahalli or their equivalents may be reserved for decision after the construction of the agrahar houses and the impending Survey Settlement of the T. Narsipur Taluk.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obt. servant,

H. M. Rice
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Compd

v-1279

Sub Division office (33)
French Rocks
Dated 15/20 march 1898

From

The Sub-Division officer
French Rocks

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Sir,

With reference to your canarese yadi no: 526 dated 5th april 1897, forwarding for disp and issue endorsement petitions from Karma Subbanachar, I have the honor to report as follows. —

The villages of Turaganur and "Basavan halli in the Turiamakudlu Narsipur Talu were granted on 8th march 1820, as Inam to Sowcar Nowl Khistna Doss, for the maintena of the temples, Chuttra and A-grahar foun by him in Paschimavahini in the Seringa patam Taluk.

The Inamdar divided the villages in t

18 vrutties and distributed them for the purposes particularized below

1	Pattabhe Ramadevaru	1 3/8 Vrutties
2	Vinnadevaru	1 3/8 Vrutties
3	Aanjaneya Devaru	1/4 Vrutti
4	Chattra	2 Vrutties
5	Yejamanu	1 Vrutti
6	Agrahar bramins	12 Vrutties
		Total Vrutties 18

4 In the distribution thus made the lands were not divided amongst the Several Vrutte dars and demarcated Separately What the Inamdar did was that he kept the management of the Inam under his own con trol and distributed the realizations pro portionately amongst the Several Vruttedars

5. On the demise of the original Inamdar his heirs who Succeeded him followed Suit matters passed on Smoothly for many years The last heir Parvati Bozi, however allowed the payment to fall into arrears. The consequence was that litigation arose between the Inamdar and the Vrittidars of the agrahar who were 12 in number. Six

of the latter sued the former in Civil Court and obtained decrees in their favour, whe the other Six slept over the matter.

When the Inamdar and the Six Agrahars were contending thus in the Civil Courts th Inam Settlement took place.

7 The Inam Superintendent suggested that the Agrahar Vrithies be resumed as a penalty for the Agraharks not residing in the Agrah itself which was almost in ruins and the Agraharks themselves being at loggerheads with the Inamdars.

8 This objection was on the representation of the Agraharks overruled by the then Chief Commissioner who directed the continuance of the Vrithies holding that it was not necess- -sary that the holder of the Vritte need reside in the Agrahar.

Thus the claims of the Agrahardars were recognized and the Inam confirmed in favour of Inamdar. The Agrahardars were however ordered to rebuild their house in Seringapatam instead of at Paschimavahi which was condemned as an unhealthy.

and those that had obtained decrees engaged themselves in executing their decrees. Meanwhile the Inam was taken under Government manage= =ment on the Muzrai branch as the charities were found to be quite neglected. Thereupon the decree holders complained and prayed for Satisfaction of their decreed amount and payment of the quota of the Vrittis from the proceeds of the Inam.

11 On the correspondence that ensued, the Deputy Commissioner in Yade no 645 dated 17th November 1892, directed that the proceeds of the Inam be divided into 18 parts and that each of the 12 Vrittidars be paid his Share. He observed that such a course would place both the decree holders and the others on equal footing and ordered that the proceeds of the madika Vritti be disbursed to the decree holders till their decreed amounts were fully satisfied in consideration of the Court costs they had incurred. The remaining amounts of the 5 Vrittis was ordered to be utilized towards Devasthans and Chattram.

2 Before this order was carried out it was found necessary to ascertain which of the Vrittidars were entitled for

for payment and what amount was available for the purpose.

3 Twelve persons as shewn below are said to be the Agrahar Vritti holders

1 Krishnamah represented by Thurma Subbanachar

2 Moortayachar dead, one Padmavati Boyi (daughter in-law) claims to be the surviving member.

3 Bhagavata Subbramanyappa dead, & Subba Krishta Rao

4 Vasudeva Shastry

5 Thurma Subbanachar

6 Bheemasenachar dead, one Sumati Anantachar claims to be the surviving member This individual is a minor and is represented by his guardian Guru Rayachar.

7 Dadunachar

8 Lakshmi Narasimhachar

9 Tunga Boyi Survives Seshachar and Ramachar appear as claimants

10 Ramavadhani dead, Son Sreenivas moorty

Thristnachar

11. Of the above 12 Vrittidars nos 8, 10 and 12 have not established their title to the Vrittis either in Revenue or Civil Courts. The Inam Title deed does not recognize them. Their Vrittis stand resumed in the Inam Settlement. The Deputy Commissioner in his order no 806 dated 7th January 1873 has directed that the amount of these 3 resumed Vrittis be kept in deposit in view to its disbursement to the decree holders when execution is sought by them.

15. In the Inam Settlement the claims of nos 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 9, and 11 have only been recognized. Nos 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, and 11 have obtained Civil Court decrees and their title to the Vrittis is therefore undisputed and payment to these cannot be withheld. No 2 is dead. The surviving member Padma. wali Borjee's name does not appear in the Inam Register. Nor has She established her title to the Vritti in the Civil or Revenue Courts. According to the petition presented by one Nagu Borjee this Vritti would appear to have been sold by the Civil Court for

the debts incurred by Padmavate Boyee and
purchased by the petitioner's late husband
The petitioner has been directed to produce
the Sale certificate and wh that is done
her claim to the Vritti can be considered
No 6 is dead Sumate Anantachar through
his guardian Guru Rajachar advance
his title to the Vritti and has produced
dahl as Sufficient to recognize the claim
No 9 Tunga Boyee. The name and that of
Sheshachar appear in the Title deed the
latter and another Ramachar appear to
have purchased the Vritti and their
claims have been recognized by the Inam
Superintendent. Tunga Boyee and one
Laksham Boyee dispute the claim of the
purchaser. The question whether Seshachar
and Ramachar or Tunga Boyee and Lak
sham Boyee should be recognized as Vrittidars
has to be decided by a competent court and
the parties may be told to establish their
respective claims before payment could
be ordered. Nos 8, 10 and 12 whose Vrittis
were resumed in the Inam Settlement
have, to all purposes lost their claim
on the Vrittis and it is doubtful if

r claims at this distant period can be
rtained on the strength of the original
nad, which recognizes them also as
thdars. These have been told to place
ir cases before the Government and
'tain orders.

The proceeds of the Inam from the year
vabhanu 1883-84 to the Year jaya 1894-95
rupees 10726-15-9 excluding Government
es and the cost of the establishment.
this Sum Rs 65 86-12-" are in the
ungapatam, and Rs 4140-3-9 are
the Tirmakudlu Narsipur taluk Trea:
'ries. The aggregate amount, if divided
proportion to each vritti, as directed
the Deputy Commissioner will be
detailed below.

Pattabhi Ramadevaru Rs 819-6-9

Rama Devaru -- Rs 819-6-9

Anjaneya Devaru Rs 148-15-9

Chattra ..... Rs 1191-14-5

2979-11-8

Yejaman ..... 595-15-1.

12 Agra hardars each at Rs 595-15-1 } Rs 7151-5-0

Total Rs 10726-15-9

17 If this Sum be divided as decided by the Deputy Commissioner, the items of expenditure will be as follows

Amount to be reserved towards charities ---- Rs 2979-11-8

Amount to be kept in deposit towards payment to the decree holders on execution of their decrees - Rs 1787-13-3

Amount to be disbursed to 3 non-decree holders at Rs 595-15-1 each ------ Rs 1787-13-3

Amount to be disbursed to 6 decree holders at Rs {(595-15-1)+(99-5-2)} each --- Rs 4171-9-7

Total Rs 10726-15-1

18 According to the above details the amou available for decree holders who hav also sought for execution is Rs 5959-(and for nondecree dars Rs 1787-13-3 C of the former Sum Rs 2195-9-0 ha

been disbursed to the undermentioned persons named below in the Sums shewn opposite their names

1 Krishnama - Rs 365-14-10
2 Vasudeva Shastry Rs 365-14-10
3 Kurma Subbanachar Rs 365-14-10
4 Dadanachar Rs 365-14-10
5 Vasanta Krishnachar Rs 731-13-8

2195-9-0

And there is still a balance of Rs 3763-13-10 available for payment. Out of Rs 1787-13-3 payable to non decree holders Rs 365-14-10 has been disbursed to Padmavati Boya.

19 Under the circumstances that have been brought to notice by the petition of Nagu Bayee, the payment made to her is irregular, and I have directed the Amildar of Seringapatam to recover that amount from her and to keep it in deposit. When this is done the full amount of Rs 1787-13-3 will be available for payment

20 When matters stood thus the Inam was resumed under proceedings No 963-6

no $\frac{963-6}{g\ 199}$ dated 16th July 1894, and furth
payment to the Agrahardars was
ped Thereupon the decree holders re
ed to the Civil Court and sought e
cution, and the Civil Court ordered
attachment of the amount in the cus
of Government On this a report wa
called for by the Deputy Commissioner
was doubted whether execution cou
be effected and reply vide no 881 d
7th april 1897, was submitted to the De
Commissioner. Ere this the governme
in proceedings no $\frac{9048-9}{R\ 1369}$ dated 2nd
ber 1894, observed that, if the Vrittida
build new agrahar houses in a loca
approved by the Deputy Commissio
and conform to the condition of the
gnal grant, the question of restor
the Vrittes to the Vrittidars will be
vourably considered. In pursua
of this order sites were allotted
them and they evinced no inclin
the agrahar.

It has been reported

Government, notice was ordered to be served
on them to take charge of the Sites within
the specified time and failing to do so
the Sites to be disposed of otherwise. Vide
Proceedings no. $\frac{500}{31-94}$ dated 5th October 1896.

2. A plan Shewing the position and area
of each of the Sites was furnished to them.
Purna Subbanachar for himself and on
behalf of 5 other decree holders, protested
that the Sites Selected by the Deputy
Commissioner were not Suitable for build-
ing the agrahar and begged other Sites
being given instead Lakshmi Nara
simhachar and Alur Ramabhatta
disapproved of the Sites chosen by the Deputy
Commissioner and have commenced work.
But these form the members of the
returned Vrittis in the Inam Settlement.

3 It did not seem advisable to permit
these individuals to construct houses
until they established their hak to the
Agrahar Vrittis in the proper course and
they have therefore been directed by
the Amildar to cease further work

in the construction of their houses In view to ascertain the nature of the objection take by Thurma Subbanachar, I procured his attendance. He stated that Syed Gafur's tomb was on the spot already selected, that it was far from inhabited houses and so forth and begged that either the Site pointed out by the Dewan or one of those chosen by himself be given for the agrahar. He referred to the vacant Site Situate to the South of Kalamanda Gudi as the one named by the Dewan, those chosen by himself being the Sites Situate to the west of the Kannada School and the Sandal Kote respectively

4 There are strong objections to grant any one of the 3 Sites alluded to by him. I inspected these Sites in Company with Thurma Subbanachar and other Vrittidars then present. I gave them to understand the reasons why these Sites cannot be granted for the agrahar. They acquiesced. There was some force in the objection advanced by Thurma Subbanachar to build agrahar houses on the Site

site that had already been selected by the Deputy Commissioner, and I therefore selected another Spot in the neighbourhood of the one already chosen and they were quite pleased with the block and expressed their willingness to build the agrahar. I Submit a tracing. The portion marked a b. in blue pencil is the block I have selected for the agrahar.

15. When this was done I procured the attendance of the Vrittidars. Of the 12 Vrittidars the following persons presented themselves before me.

1 Kurma Subbamachar
2 Krishnama represented by Kurma Subbamachar
3 Subba Krishna Rao
4 Dodamachar
5 Vasanta Krishnachar
6 Vasudevashastry
7 Sumati Anantachar
represented by his guardian Guru Rajachar
Lakshmi narasimachar

9 Alur Ramabhatta

10 Tunga Bayi

11 Laksham Baye

Srenivas moorti and Padmavati Bayi absented themselves.

26. The first Six are the decree holders. Thurma Subbiah achar on behalf of himself and the other decree-holders stated that their prayers were.——

1 That another Site in lieu of the one selected by the Deputy Commissioner be given to them.

2 That they be paid in full the amount of their decrees from the proceeds of the yejaman and the 3 resumed vrittis.

3. That the arrears of quota of their vritti amount be paid to them to enable them to build their houses.

4. That the lands of the two Inam villages be divided in proportion to their vritti and separately demarcated.

already approved of the Site selected by me for the Agrahar, That their 2nd and 3rd prayers have already been decided by the Deputy Commissioner in accordance with their request and that their 4th request would be duly considered by the Government in passing the final orders in their case. They expressed themselves Satisfied. The guardian of Sumati Anantachar expressed that he would commence to build the house on the Site now selected as soon as the amount of the writti was placed at his disposal. Tungu Bayi also stated that she would build the house and begged the amount to be paid to her. She was told to establish her right in preference to those of Sheshachar and Ramachar. Lakshmi Narasimhachar and Alur Ramibhatta begged that they may be advanced money and permitted to commence work. The

act of their vrittis having been resumed
uring the Inam Settlement was pointe
ut to them and they were advised
establish their title to the Vritti in
the 1st instance. The other vrittidars
admavati Bayi and — Seenivas
urti have absented themselves. The
laim of the former is disputed by
agu Bayi and that of the latter
is like those of Lakshmi Narasim
har and Alur Ramkhatta

28. Should the Government approve of
the site I have now selected, and
sanction the disbursement of the Vrit
amounts as decided by the then Dep
Commissioner cancelling the order of
resumption of the Inam, I will ta
steps to obtain the amounts up to
Year Jaya and of the subsequent
from the Srikamakshi narsipur Ta
Treasury and the Serirangapatam
Treasury and to put the build
Sites in the possession of the agrah

and to the disbursement of the amount in small sums as progress is shewn by them in the construction of the houses.

29. At present the cases of the 6 decree holders and Sumati Anantachar are undisputed and these may be put in immediate possession of the sites. The cases of Padmavati Bayi and Tunga Bayi are disputed. Two building sites may be reserved to be placed in charge of those that may establish their title to the said two Writtis. The cases of Lakshmi narasimha char, Alur Ramabhatta and Sreenivas moorti whose Writtis have been resumed, hereafter, have to be considered in accordance with the decision to be arrived at by the Government, and in the event of the decision being also in their favour, then sites adjoining the agrahar may also be given to them.

30. Kurma Subbanachar and 5 others are very anxious to build their houses commencing the work

(2:1)

in the months of chitra and bring them to completion before the monsoons set in. Early orders of Government therefore be kindly obtained in the matter.

31 The Yadi under report together with its accompaniments and the correspondence connected with the case are submitted for reference.

I have &c

Signed. K. P. Puttanna chetty

Sub. Division officer

French Rocks

"Copy"

Compd WA

Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

Sir,

Adverting to your letter to the Genl. Department No. 1164 dated 7th April 1898 (which has been transferred to this Office for disposal) I am to observe that the informations contained in the above letter and the Vernacular records therewith submitted, are not complete, and do not tally on some points, with the records of this Office. To save delay by further official

V. The amount now at the credit of the Charity.

3. On receipt of the informations called for above final orders will be issued on the points raised in your letter under advertence.

I have &c

Asst Secy:

Memorandum

Naul Krishnadoss's Charities

The Villages of Tum-gonnur and Basavana-halli in T. Narsipur Taluk were granted as Sarvamanyam by H. H. the Maharaja Krishna Raja Wodiar III to Naul Krishnadoss by Sunnad dated 8th March 1820 for the maintenance of certain Charitable Institution-Viz a Chattram, 3 Temples and an Arakan Pas adjoining

In November 1832, the donees divided the Inam into 18 Vrittis and assigned them as follows:—

Sri-Rameswara-Devaru-
Sri-Patthabhiramaswamy.
Sri Anjaneyaswamy.
Chattram
Sadavarttu Dharmam 1.
Parakala Matt Sri Lakshmi narayana Swami 1
Yejamanu. 1
Agraharu* -11 Brahmans.- 11
Total 18.

This Vritti is annexed to the grant a Devadaya one- But in 1833 the Vritti was supplied away by the Head of the Matt. Done Vriswanatha; and it has been hereto treated as a personal & along those of the Agraharikas Hence 12 Agrahara Vrittis.

By the above assignment, the Village lands were not divided into Separate holdings

And ~~adopted~~ allotted to
the several Vrittidars; On
the other hand the manag-
-ment of the Villages
was retained by the
Inamdar as Manage
of the Shantis, and the
net incomes realized
year by year were divide
amongst the several
Vrittidars in proportio
to their respective Shares.
Matters went on smoo
for several years till
about the year 1865,
when a dispute arose
between the Manage
and the Apahaarikas.
Owing to the latter not
receiving their Vrittis when

Zgz-akarikas commenced
during the. Managen in
Civil Courts for the recovery
of their Vuttri allowances.

While the parties
stood. were thus con-
-tending in Civil Courts,
the Inam Settlement
took place in 1880.
During the enquiry
the Inam assistant
observed. that the
Apahan was in a.
miserable state, that
it was Negatory on the
part of the Vuttadars
to repair it. and the
Apahan and main-
-tain

maintain it on for
Condition and that
such of the Ute tribe
who as failed to take up
their residence permanently on the Uintah
Should forfeit their
Ute patents; he also
proposed a re-distribution
of the Utes to the
exclusion of certain
Uintah Ute tribe who
had not taken up or
agreed to take up their
residence permanently
at the Uintah. The
proposals were not
accepted by the Indians
Supt. but subsequently

Board, the chief Com?
having decided that the
Evidence at the Apaha
was not always a
necessary Condition
of Agrahan grants.
The Entitlement at the
same time petitioned
the Inam Supt Com-
plaining against
the principle of the Inam
Assistant and praying
the names of all the
12 Apahanikas might
be entered in the
Pote-book; but the
Inam Supt rejected
the prayer. Observing
that the names of the
Entitlement would be A.A.C.

entered in the I.-H. Dec

The Villages were finall

registered as Devadasi

grant to be held by

the Manager of the

Chavadis for the benefit

of the Chavadis established by

Government.

In 1883, the S.D.O

of Pinnacle Rocks found

on the Institutions Act

not neglected Conditions

and after enquiry

and perusal of the

previous records passed

an order directing that

the Institutions and

their Endowments

should be taken over

under the direct
management of the
Taluk amildar. The
Order was complied
by the Dy:. who directed
the amildar of T. Nar-
-sipura Taluk to assume
the management of
the Villages and with
the Collections to the
amildar of the Srirangap-
-patana Taluk in
View to the money
being applied for the
benefit of the Charities.
An Instrument ratifying
such assumption was
accordingly published on
for the information
of all concerned -

On proceeding however
to settle the revenue affairs
of the Village, the Commrs.
experienced at the outset
some difficulty in
collecting the rents
from the Mills as the
Innerstiffs Court had
attached the Village
for debts due by the
Managers to the Vendi-
-dors and had appointed
a Receiver for the
management of the
Village. The Directors
-upon reported the
foregoing Circumstances
Court, and sought Orders
on the subject of the

liability of the Iriam
Villagers to attachment
by Civil Courts in Satis-
-faction of the Manager's
personal debts - Later
on in July 1889,
the Dr addressed
another report to Govt
submitting Copies of the
attachment Orders
issued to his Office
by the Civil Court.
And soliciting early
decision as to the
liability of the Iriam
Villagers to such
attachment - The
Court [illegible]
Court [illegible]
written to by the Dr.
intimating that

the matter had been
referred back for
Orders. The Guild
was also directed
to make arrangements
for the regular payment
of Annuity & Pension
Allowances of the two
Widows who in
did not appear
the [illegible]

In December
1889, Kurma Subbam
Chetty one of the Appe-
llants Vontedam per
the Dr?. Subramanya
Copies of Civil Court
Decree obtained.

him and certain other
Vatandars in respect
of the Watti allowance
due for the years
Thawuna, Parthiva &
Vyaya &c. and praying
for the payment of
the decreed amounts
out of the revenues of the
Inam Villages attached
by Govt Agency. The
Dy Com.r (Mr Hutchinson)
submitted the petn.
and its enclosures.
Govt for Order,
observing, as his
opinion, that the
Amount incurred
by Govt during 1886.
and held in deposit

Should not be obliged
for upwards the Chattram
and meeting the cost
of the maintenance
of Papa Swamies in the
Temples, And that
any balance remaining
after meeting these
charges & expenditure
might be rateably
distributed to the Untouchables,
to the Untouchables according
to the decree in the
suit portion of the Iranian
Grant.

In April 1892.
Mr Ramaswami Iyer
the Dr. (at the instance
of the S. D. of French
Rocks) called upon the
Amildar of T. Nadupuram
to furnish a

statement of the amount
realized on the sum.
Villages of Tirupanur,
and Baramahali
from the assumption
of their management
by the Govt., and forwarded
the Statement to the
Collr. D.O with a request.
to submit detailed
proposals in respect
of the distribution of
the amount amongst
the Vanniyar. Accordingly
the Amildar of Srinivasa-
-puram submitted
a Statement of
Expenditure and of
the Amounts claimed
& payable to each.
Commencing with this
Statement the

Ram. No. 8329. 5. 92

No 582 d 15. 8 92

1. Srimati Vedavyasachar
2. Mandavi Madhavachar } Amount claimed by all the Vrittidars

except 2* who were not forthcoming was Rs. 8464-6-

As detailed below:-

(1) According to decrees.

Obtained by 6 Vrittidars:-
in respect of allcs for Thanuma
Pantulu Vadyar:- Principal 1542-3-9

Court Costs - 540-2-4

Interest - 493-14-0

2576-4-1.

(2) For subsequent years up to the end of Kara:- Principal 4400-0-0

Interest - 1488-2-0

5888-2-0

Total Rs 8464-6-1

Of the foregoing Amount the Amildar disallowed Rs 1488-2-0 the interest.

~~As~~ shown in item 2)
as an unreasonable
Claim, and recom-
mended the disburse-
ment of the balance
remaining to P.
[illegible] - On
this the Dr. passed.
an Order No 6457
16 Nov 1892 directing
that the amount then
in deposit should
be distributed equally amongst
the 18 Witnesses
(whether decree-holders
or not No), but that as
the decree holder had
been compelled to
resort to Civil Court
owing to the refusal
of the Medical Manager

the latter's share
Vnttr-ds allowance sh
be distributed
the decree holder to
Consider Compensate
them for Court-Cost
The Amr of Srimuga
-palam Thereupon
proceeded to disburse
the amount to the
Several Vnttr dars
in accordance with
the Dr's Ord ..

One of the Vnttidars
to who. there were
payment was Saba-
-pathanna Lakshmi
Narasimhaiah.

On this, Kurma Subbama
Chan & the other decea-
-holders petd to the
Dr. stating that the
Vuttis of Lakshmi
Narasimhachar &
of two others (Alm
Devanahatta &
Kalyan Krishnaiah)
had been resumed at
the Inam Settt and
protesting against
the payment of
any money due to
them out of the Income
of the Inam ...

On receipt of this
Petn, the Dr. passed
a further Order. No.
706 of 7th January 1893.

directing that the
allowances of the
2 Sconced. Vrttis &c
along with the Mada
Vrtti, be divided
the decree holders i
adjustment of Cou
Costs incurred by the
latter. The Mons pa
to Lakshmi Narasim
Iyer was thereupon
taken back.

+ In Nov. 1893 it
was brought to the
notice of Govt & in
the Inam Dept.
that the charities
established by Jaga
Krishna Dass Jai
taken into deco

And that the proceeds
of the Indian Villages
Endowed Lands were
to be vested in Trustees
for the benefit of the
Inst^ns. - The Rev^d. Mr. Cochran
the pet^r. was sworn,
Reported that the
Inst^ns were all in
old dilapidated
Condition and that
the Representatives
of the former was
for ~~Opposing~~ Usurping
the Management
of the Inst^ns. in the
hands of pet^r. - The
Indian Rep^s. pertaining
to grant was thereupon
Called for and after
a perusal of the
same, the Court

Wilfred Pro. No. 963-6 of
8.199

directed that the
Main Villages shall
be secured. the Dy
of the Point having
totally failed. and
obsinued. that a
reasonable part
from the Jumal.
Revenues of the State
will be assigned
for the restoration
& maintenance.
of the Chattram & the
Temples as State Jn
Imrsai Indentures

The Main Villages.
were then upon resu
Upon with effect

from the year Jaya (1894-5)

In May 1895 the
Agrahara Vrittidars
complained to the Dewan.
against the resumption
of their Vrittis as above.
On this the Govt issued
an order No. 9067-8.
Rs 1869.

And May 1895 Answering
that the resumption
of the Inam was just
and proper & must come
into full force.
& effect but that the
restoration of the Vrittis or their equivalent
to the Agrahara should
be considered after the
late build the Krishna

[illegible] in a locality
[illegible] by the Br
and [illegible]
-from [illegible]
[illegible] The
Apakanikas were
accordingly directed
to [illegible] by the Br / for
[illegible]
[illegible]
Apakas

In the meanwhile
in Sept 1892 the Alm
Narasimha Bhatta
& Sahasramama
Lakshmi Narasimha
Char petd for
praying for the
disbursement of the

Vnttii allowances
&c for previous
years. These petns
No. 307 of 18th Aug 1946
& No. 367 of 1st Sept 1941
were referred to the
Dy: for report as to
(1) whether the Vnttii
Amounts claimed
as due were then
in deposit at the Calcutta
Treasury, and (2)
if there was any objn
to the petrs being paid
off the arrears due
to them for the years
previous to the date
of the resumption of the
Inam Villages as
directed in the first
Order of the 16th Jan 1946

and (3) what amount would be due to each of the petrs.

In reply, the Dr, in his letter to pet-ner No. 735-87 15th June 1878 reported that a sum of Rs 365-14-0 was due to each of the petrs, that the amount was held in deposit at the Treasury and that he saw no objection to the petrs being paid the amount held in deposit if they were able to build houses on files to be allotted for the Agraharam at Srirangapatam

On the, an order

No 731-2 of 16th

Nov 734-4

Feb 1895 was issued

by Govt. Sanctioning

the payment of the

arrears of the Vritti

allowances to the

pet[itioner]s Alm Narasimha

Bhatta & Sahadharma.

Lakshmi Narasimhayya.

in case of their executing

Muchilikas binding

themselves.

to bind themselves and

reside in the Agrahara

within 6 months

of payment.

Alm Narasimha.

Petition accordingly

pet^d the Dr^r. on the
29th April 95.
for the disbursement
of the allowance
Due to him, and
the Dr^r. Dr^s. Nr^s.
a Rangaswamy
Iyengar forwarded
the pet^r. to the Asst^t
of Srirangapatam
with directions to
~~give due effect~~
disburse the all^ce.
due to the Vettidar
in pursuance of the
Govt. Order of the
16th Feb 1895.
referred to above.
But no such papers
~~appear to be~~

|| The Site for the
A Grahan at Srivan
-gupalain has been finally
Selected ~~and~~ by the
S. D.O in Consultation
with the Vannia Mudaliar
Chairman of the Vatti-
-dan; and in the
Dy Com's letter No
to Ppt No 1164 of
7th April 98, final
Orders have been
Sanct-ion regarding
(1) The Assignment ~~of the site selected~~ to the
Vrittidan of sites for the construction
of their houses in the locality Selected
by the S. D.O
(2) The adjustment of the Agraharikal's
in respect of allowances due to them

Note.

Adverting to the Dr's letter of the 7th April 1898, the following points call for special consideration:—

In para 4 it is stated that of the Agrahara Vrittis, those of Lakshmanasimhachar, Rama Avadhani & Ahm Rama Natta have been resumed at the Inam Sett and that nothing could be done in their case till they establish their title and get the Order of resumption Cancelled.

Adverting to this
point, it is to be
pointed out that
there is nothing
in the Regs. ~~&~~ directing
~~stating~~ the resumption
of any of the Writers,
the Inam grant
having been con-
-firmed as a whole.
In that regd. to
the Collection of the
Hindu-dural Unti-
-dars &. This Regn.
has been ~~Hon'ble~~ repeatedly
~~explained~~ explained
in the following
papers filed in the
Records.

In support of the
Views ~~Reference~~ reference is
invited ~~Refer~~ to the following
papers filed in
Records:

Auditor's report
to S. D.O No. 293 dt 20.6.96
Auditor's report to
S. D.O No. 294 dt 2.7.96
S. D.O's report to Dy.
No. 451 dt 15-11-96..
Dy Com's report to Govt
No. 735 dt 15-1-95.
& the Govt Order in the
Statement of Writ
dues. Subd to Govt
under Cover of
Dy Com's docket
No. 459 dt 2 Sep. 96
& in which the names
of all the 18 Writtdars
are included &
the Amounts due
to each specified.
=
The Statement

that the 3 Vatties
in question have
been resumed at
the Khanseff is
evidently based
on the Asst's report
to S. D.O No. 8887
16th Dec 1896. which
in its turn is simply
a repetition of the
allegations contained
in Subbamma Chari's
petn. — This Subbamma
Char. has presumed.
a resumption
of the 3 Vatties merely
because the names
of the 3 Vatties happen
not to be mentioned

Mr Hanau ab Beg.

because they have
been excluded in the
Main Assistant's
proposals to the Main
Supt. But as above
~~pointed out~~ explained in para
Supra & the proposals
were not adopted.
and can not therefore
have any effect. Further
if the Main Asst's
proposals are to be
acted upon now,
the very persons
Sri Ramachandra &
Subba Krishna Rao.
who so persistently
contended for the
exclusion of the 3.
Vriddhas in 1901

~~Land in A Claim~~

Will be precluded
from claiming their
own Properties; for these,
according to ~~proceedings~~
the Assistant Superintendent
Land forfeited
in favour of the other
persons who have
been actually
residing in the Agraharam.

And all the petitions
presented by the agraharikas.
to the Inam Enquiry in 1881
praying for the registry
of their names in the
Jamabandi. the names
of the 3 Vrittidars, Lakshmi
Narasimhachar,
Rama Aradhani
& Rama Rao Bhatta
are mentioned as entitled to
shares in the Inam

In the foresaid Pargana the Contention was the Vrittis of these 3 persons stand determined at the Jagir Settlement in future =

vil Court decrees:—

The decrees obtained by the Vrittidars are in respect of all the dues of them for the Years Tharana Parthiva & Vyaya During all these 3 Years the Inam Villages were under the Management of

of the Maddika.

- the defendant in the suit - who had collected the Rent himself & had not rendered any account thereof. The Villages were taken as under Govt Management & Revenue Collected by the Taluk Amildar for the Year Sarvajit - the Year subsequent to the period mentioned in the Decree.

The Decrees can't
therefore bind
Govt, whatever
might be their
effect as against
the Maddikara
personally -.
The Govt are
responsible only
in respect of re-
-venue collected
by them in the Inam
Villages from the
Year Sarvajit
and not also in
respect of claims
for years previous

In this view, the decrees are of no use
in deciding the amounts now in dispute

III. Amount due to each Vritida

For reasons explained above &
under the terms
of the pct order
of the 16th Feb 95.
the Vritidaras
to be paid the
~~2 1/2~~ Net revenue realized
~~Abkaree dues~~
~~Issue Contract~~
on the Mahua Villages
from the year
Sanvajpt to the
year Vijaya (Vi-
-dhanic) and each
Vritidar is entitled
to receive 1/18 of the
Net Collections.
According to the

Statement Appendix

the net revenue

realized by Govt

from 1887-88 to 1893-94

Amounts to Rs.

8344-10-9.

which fries Rs

463-9-3 5/6 to

Each Vm to their

Of this amount

of Rs 463-9-3 5/6

Dues to each Vmth; Vm

5th of the Appanaikka

have received

Rs 365-10-10 Each

The balance due is

Rs. 97-10-15 to

each

Krishnamma

Vasudeva Sastry

Kumma Mbhamma

Dadamma Chari

5 Padmavathi Bai

One of the Vritti-
-dars. by name.
Vasanta Krishnama
Char. has been
paid Rs. 731-13-8.
Or double the
Amount- dis-
-bursed to each
of the aforesaid
5 persons. Mes-
-planation hereof.
the Am?. has recorded
a remark that a sum
Rs 365-14-10, the
Maddi-ka's share.
~~bursed~~ of the money
realized up to the
Close of the year 1895.
was remitted to the
Civil Court in

Vide Statement
of payments of 30/6/95

Satisfaction of the
decree obtained
by ~~him~~ the Untta da
Vasanta Krishnaji
against the Inadkar
No. 2 of [illegible] is available
in the record to
shew under what
authority the au-
acted in this respect
The Money should
not have been paid
to the Civil Court
but the authority
authorising decision
by Govt. in the Secretariat
Dept. the Dy
letters of [illegible]
of 22nd Jan. 1894 & 3rd Dec.

in regard to the liability
of the Inam Village
to attachment
under civil Courts
Decrees.

The result of
the Commissioner's
has been that this one
Village has been
paid so much
as Rs 2-6-8-4-5 more.
[illegible] than what
he really due to him along with the other

[illegible]
1/11/98

The Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore

Dated 21st Muzrai Dept
Despatched } November 1898
Received
General No. 80
No.

Enclosure No. 1.

Sir

I duer ting to your
letter No 490 dated
19th October 1898 call
-ing for further partic
-ulars regarding
the Nowl Krishna
Dos Agrahar at
Pachmaranahini I
have the honor to
state that the neces.
sary information

has been furnished to the Manager of your office to whom seven additional files of papers as per accompanying list have also been handed over as desired by him for further reference.

The amount now at the Credit of the Charity will have to be ascertained on receipt of a statement of particulars called for from the Amildar of J. Narsipur Taluk. This Statement will be forwarded as soon as the Sum is received from the Amildar.

I have the honor to

Sir

Your most obedient

Servant

for Dr Cummings

Memo.

Letter No. 1164 dated 7th April 1898 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District, regarding Naval Krishna Doss Agrahar at Seerangapatam.

The Inam villages of Turagamur and Basavanahalli endowed to the charities established at Paschimavahini by the late Naval Krishna Doss were under orders of Government No. $\frac{963-6}{G\ 99}$ dated 16th July 1894, resumed as the object of the grant had failed; and subsequently on the complaint of the

Medars against the
emption of the Inam
llages, the Government
Proceedings No. 9048-9 / R 1369
ted 2nd December 1874
erved that they will
"consider favorably
the question of restoring
to them the Vrittees or
their equivalents, after
they build their Agrahar
houses in a locality
approved by the Deputy
Commissioner and
otherwise conform to
the conditions of
the original grant."

Now the Deputy
Commissioner reports
that the Sub Division Officer

of French Rocks has, in Consultation with the Vrittidars, selected site at Sreerangapatam (marked A and B in the Plan appended) for the re-construction of the Agrahar and request sanction of Government to make over the Sites to the Vrittidars in view to their Commencing the Construction of the houses.

3. The Vrittidars also pray that the proceeds of the Inam villages prior to the date of their resumption might be disbursed to them in view to enable them to Construct the Agrahar as directed in Government order

of the 2nd December 1874
referred to above. The
revenue realized from
the Inam villages since
the year 1886 is now held
in the Treasury under
a separate head
"Receipts of Muzrai
Institutions under the
temporary management
of Government." A
portion of this amount
has been already disbursed
by the Deputy Commissioner
to certain Vrittidars
in satisfaction of
Civil Court decrees
obtained by them against
the heir and representative
of Naval Krishna Dass
for the Vritti allowances
due to them. There are

Some more Vrittidars who have not been paid their Vritti allowances yet.

As to who these Vrittidars are and what amount is due to each, some information is given in the Deputy Commissioner's report. But the information therein furnished is not complete. If however, the Government decide to disburse the Vritti allowances due to the Vrittidars for the period prior to the resumption of the Inam villages, further informations necessary will be called for from the Deputy Commissioner

and orders issued for the payment of the allowances due to the several Vrittidars, on condition of their agreeing to apply the money for the construction of the new houses on the sites selected for the Agrahar.

4. The question of the re-grant of the resumed Vrittis or their equivalents to the Vrittidars is, as observed in Government Proceedings No. 9048-9 / R 1369 dated 2nd December 1894, a matter for

future consideratio
after the Agraha
has been duly re-constitue

E - 8 - 68

) the following papers :—

i. Government Proceedings No. 963-6 / G. 199 dated 16th July 1894 directing the resumption of the Inam villages of Turaganur and Basavanahalli granted for the maintenance of the Agrahar and other Charities established at Paschimavahini by the late Nowl Krishna Doss, Consequent on the decayed Condition of the Institutions.

ii. Government Proceedings No. 9048-9 / R 1369 dated 2nd December 1894 observing that the question of restoring to the Vrittidars.

of the late Nowl Krishna
Doss Agrahar, their res-
pective Vrittics out of
the resumed Inam lands
or their equivalents
will be favorably con-
sidered by Government
after the Vrittidars build
new Agrahar houses
in a locality approved
by the Deputy Commissioner
and otherwise conform
to the conditions of the
original grant, and
referring the Agraharikas
to the Deputy Commissioner
for assignment of
suitable sites to build
Agrahar houses upon.

III. Letter No. 1164
dated 4th April 1898
from the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District reporting
that suitable sites
at Seerangapatam have
been selected by the Sub
Division Officer of French
Rocks for the Construction
of the Agrahar and requesting
sanction to the Agraharikas
being placed in possession
of the sites, and also to
the disbursement of
Vritti allowances due to
them out of the revenues
of the villages of Iwraganur
and Basavanahalli.

IV. Letter No. 80 dated
21st November 1898

from the Deputy
Commissioner Submitting
the Vernacular records
of the case.

Order thereon No.

797-8 D/25/12/
Mur 7 31-94
Enc Records -

As regards the
allotment of the sites
to the Vrittidars, the
Deputy Commissioner is
informed that he may,
after satisfying himself
as to the eligibility of
the sites selected by the
Sub Division Officer of
French Rocks, make
them over to the Vrittidars
on distinct terms as to
Character

Character and type of buildings and time for their erection &c.

2. In para 4 of his letter No. 1164 dated 7th April 1898 read above, the Deputy Commissioner reports that out of the 18 Vrittis, the 3 Vrittis assigned to the persons noted in the margin* have been resumed at the Inam Settlement and that their claims cannot therefore be considered until the order of resumption is cancelled. With reference to this point

the Government, after
perusal of the entire records
relating to the Inam
Settlement and the Quit-Rent
Registers and the Titles
Deeds finally issued in
respect of the two Inam
villages in question, find
that these villages have
been confirmed as
Divadaya Inam in the
name of the "Managers
for the time being of Nowl
Krishna Deva's Agrahar,
Devastham and Chattram
at Paschimavahini"; and
nothing is mentioned
in the aforesaid records
about any of the Trustees

having been examined. The Deputy Commissioner, in stating that the three Vrittics referred to above were resumed at the Inam Settlement, appears to have based his observation on certain remarks recorded by the Inam Assistant at the earlier stages of the Inam inquiry to the effect that the Vrittics might be re-allotted to the exclusion of certain Agrahārikas, who at the time had not taken up their residence permanently at the Agrahar; but the Inam Assistant

proposal was not finally adopted and the grants were simply registered in the name of the Manager for the time being of the Charities, omitting the names of the Vrittidars in the Title Deeds finally issued. In these circumstances, the three Vrittis referred to above cannot be taken as having been examined at the Inam Settlement. The present representatives of the 3 Vrittidars in question — Viz, Lakshmi Narasimha Rao, Alur Narasimhabhatta and Sreenivasa Murti.

Should

should therefore be
treated alike with th
other Agraharikas an
allotted sites for the
Construction of houses.

3. As regards the
payment of the arrear
of allowances due to
the Vrittidars, it is observed
from the records that the
Inam villages of Turagamur
and Basavanahalli were
taken over under Govern-
-ment management
in the year 1886 and finally
resumed to Government
in July 1894; the Vrittidars
are therefore entitled

to receive only the net
revenue collected by
Government from the
villages during the interval,
And according to the Revenue
Accounts now received,
the gross receipts from
the villages and the expenditures
during the aforesaid interval
therefrom stand as follows:—

Village	Receipts			Expenditure: Local Cess paid to Govt.			Potgi allowances to village Servants			Survey Charges			Total			Remarks.
Turagamur	5996	10	·	368	13	8	723	10	8	139	3	4	1231	11	8	Vide Govt. Pro: issued in the Revenue Department No. 13867-8 Dt 4th March 91 No. 15076-7 Dt 13th March 91 No. 41184-6 Dt 13th December 18 FC.417.
Basavanahalli	3671	15	10													
Total	9668	9	10										1231	11	8	

thus leaving a net
income of Rs 8436-14-2
available for distribution

to the Vrittedars. This amount apportioned equally amongst the 18 Vrithies will give Rs 468-11-5 to each Vritti.

4. According to the terms of the original grant, 5 out of the 18 Vrithies have been assigned ~~for the benefit of~~ to the marginally noted Institutions at Paschimavahini. ~~As regards~~ In respect of the amount ~~due~~ payable to the Sri Pattabhi Ramaswami temple, one of the Institutions above referred to, separate orders have been issued today on another Communication received on the subject from the

Sri Pattabhiramaswami. Vrithies
temple — 1 3/8
Sri Rameswaraswami
temple — 1 3/8
Sri Anjaneyaswami
temple — 1/4
Chatram — 2
Total 5.

(111)

Deputy Commissioner.

The amounts due to the other 3 Institutions will be continued to be held in the Treasury pending further instructions in the matter.

5. Exclusive of the amount due to the aforesaid 4 Institutions, the balance of the money, the Deputy Commissioner will disburse to the other Victidars after satisfying himself as to the present claimants being the rightful representatives of the original grantees

and

and deducting the sums reported to have been already paid to some of them.

6. A final report of the action taken by the Deputy Commissioner in pursuance of the foregoing orders should be submitted for the information of Government.

Murzai Secretary

The Dy Comm^r., Mysore District
Returning records.
Comptroller, Mysore Govt.